

clude any physically relevant Shubnikov groups. The 28 non-crystallographic Shubnikov groups discussed above are listed in Table 2.

References

- BERTAUT, E. F. (1968). *Acta Cryst. A* **24**, 217.
 KOPSTIK, V. A. (1966). *Shubnikov Groups*, p. 29.
 Moscow Univ. Press.
- MURNAGHAN, F. D. (1938). *The Theory of Group Representations*, chap. 10. London: Constable.
 SAMSON, S. (1968). In *Structural Chemistry and Molecular Biology*. Ed. A. RICH & N. DAVIDSON. p. 687. San Francisco and London: Freeman.
 TAVGER, B. A. & ZAITSEV, V. M. (1956). *Zh. eksp. teor. Fiz.* **30**, 564. Transl. R. E. PEIERLS, *Soviet Phys. JETP*, **3**, 430.
 ZAMORZAEV, A. M. (1953). *Generalization of the Space Groups*. Dissertation: Leningrad Univ.

Acta Cryst. (1969). **A25**, 459

High-Resolution Monochromatization of Neutrons by Multiple Bragg Reflection in Hexagonal Close-Packed Crystals*

BY D. A. KOTTWITZ

Battelle Memorial Institute, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington, U.S.A.

(Received 2 October 1968)

The conditions which give high-resolution monochromatization of neutrons by multiple Bragg reflection in hexagonal close-packed crystals have been studied theoretically. The role of reflections forbidden by special atomic positions has been clarified, and, contrary to a previous conclusion, it is found that these 'forbidden' reflections may be useful for high-resolution purposes. Detailed calculations of the necessary crystal orientations have been carried out for beryllium, magnesium and zinc. On the basis of somewhat arbitrary criteria the calculations show that these crystals can reflect a total of approximately 200 wavelengths in the range 0.7 to 4.9 Å (neutron energy 0.003 to 0.17 eV). Several orientations have been found at which *mosaic* crystals (with a sacrifice of angular resolution) should produce beams that are both intense and highly monochromatic.

Introduction

In a previous paper (hereinafter referred to as part I) it was pointed out that under suitable conditions in certain types of perfect crystals the phenomenon of multiple Bragg reflection (MBR) can provide highly monochromatic and highly collimated (semiparallel) beams of neutrons and X-rays at fixed wavelengths (Kottwitz, 1968a). The effect depends on the simulation of a forbidden (primary) reflection by the cooperative action of two allowed reflections (secondary and tertiary); this is the 'Umweganregung' phenomenon (Renninger, 1937). For each such simulation the reflected wavelength has a second-order extremum at a definite orientation (referred to in part I as the 'operating point') of the incident beam relative to the crystal. At such operating points even quite coarse external collimation can produce extremely high wavelength and angular resolution *provided* there is no 'interference', that is, provided no other simulation is close enough to make an impure contribution. Exploratory intensity measurements have been reported (Kottwitz, 1968b).

The main purpose of this paper is to present and discuss calculations of interference-free operating

points for three hexagonal close-packed crystals: beryllium, magnesium and zinc. In contrast with the cubic diamond structure, for which the operating points are independent of lattice parameters, the h.c.p. structure permits various c/a ratios and thus requires separate calculations for each crystal. These particular crystals were chosen because they are suitable monochromators for neutrons (Bacon, 1962).

The general equations, nomenclature and conventions that provide the basis for this paper are identical with those in part I, and will be at most briefly described here. Attention will be concentrated on characteristics particularly relevant to the h.c.p. case.

Forbidden reflections in h.c.p. crystals

The h.c.p. structure (space group $P6_3/mmc$) has some reflections that are strictly forbidden by space-group symmetry; they are given by $hh\bar{2}hl$ with l odd. Calculations of operating points have been done for 0001, 0003, 0005, 1121, 1123 and 2241. These are the pre-eminent candidates for use in high-resolution monochromatization by MBR.

The h.c.p. structure also has reflections that are only approximately 'forbidden' by special atomic positions; these are given by $hkil$ with l odd and $h-k=3n \neq 0$. They are represented in our calculations by 3031, 3033

* Work performed under U.S.A.E.C. Contract AT (45-1)-1830.

and $41\bar{5}1$. Furthermore at sufficiently low temperatures the operating points for these reflections probably are useful for high-resolution monochromatization of neutrons.

Since the last remark is contrary to the conclusion reached in § 5 of part I, it is necessary to clarify this point. It is customary to specify special positions for spherical scattering centers (*International Tables for X-ray Crystallography*, 1952). However, the condition of full spherical symmetry, while sufficient, is not necessary to nullify a reflection. Thus some of the special positions may be effective for less symmetrical scattering centers. This is so for the h.c.p. structure, since the elementary structure-factor calculation (Guinier, 1952) remains valid for all the 'forbidden' reflections when spheres are replaced by ellipsoids of revolution having axes parallel to the c axis. Now the nuclear 'cloud' in h.c.p. crystals has just this shape in the harmonic approximation, that is, at sufficiently low temperatures. The approximately 'forbidden' reflections may then become truly forbidden, thus making possible high-resolution monochromatization of neutrons by MBR. The electronic distribution, being more sensitive to the crystal structure, is likely to have an irregular shape; thus high-resolution monochromatization of X-rays by these approximately 'forbidden' reflections would not be possible. Similarly, at high temperatures the nuclear 'cloud', responding to the crystal structure, is not ellipsoidal, and high-resolution monochromatization of neutrons is no longer possible. In fact such weak 'forbidden' reflections are particularly useful in studying the spatial aspects of anharmonicity by neutron diffraction (Dawson & Willis, 1967).

Calculations for h. c. p. crystals

The calculations for Be, Mg and Zn were done on a UNIVAC 1108 computer with the FORTRAN V program *DBSKH2*. The program has essentially the same basic structure as that used in Part I for diamond-structure crystals. The only significant change was to add the calculation of θ_2 and θ_3 , the Bragg angles (at the operating point) of the secondary and tertiary re-

flections, respectively. In the notation of Part I these quantities are given by

$$\tan \theta_2 = R/|\mathbf{K}_1 \cdot \mathbf{K}_3| \quad (1a)$$

$$\tan \theta_3 = R/|\mathbf{K}_1 \cdot \mathbf{K}_2|. \quad (1b)$$

They are related to the primary Bragg angle θ_p by

$$\theta_p = |\theta_2 - \theta_3|, \quad (2a)$$

or

$$\theta_p = \text{smaller of } (\theta_2 + \theta_3) \text{ and } (180^\circ - \theta_2 - \theta_3), \quad (2b)$$

the former equation applying when the senses of the secondary and tertiary reflections are opposite (parallel case) and the latter when they are similar (antiparallel case). The Bragg angles θ_2 and θ_3 provide useful semi-quantitative information about the resolution of a simulated reflection.

The physical constants used in the calculations had the 1963 IUPAP values as follows: Planck's constant = 6.6256×10^{-34} J-sec, neutron mass = 1.67482×10^{-27} kg and electron charge = -1.60210×10^{-19} C (*Physics Today*, 1964). Lattice parameters were taken from the recent compilation by Pearson (1967); they are shown in Table 1. It is interesting to note that these values of c/a accidentally cover the range of physical interest fairly well; Be has the smallest observed ratio, Zn has a value within 2% of the largest observed (Cd), while Mg has a value within 1% of that for close packing of spheres (1.6330).

Table 1. *Lattice parameters used in calculations*

Crystal	a (Å)	c (Å)	c/a
Be	2.286	3.584	1.568
Mg	3.2094	5.2105	1.6235
Zn	2.6649	4.9468	1.8563

A summary of some of the important input parameters and results for each simulated reflection is given in Table 2. The parameters α_{\min} , α_{\max} , θ_{\min} , and θ_{\max} , which specify the (α, θ) -rectangle to be scanned for operating points, were chosen as follows. The largest range of α ever required is 180° ; however, if \mathbf{K}_1 is parallel to a symmetry axis or a symmetry plane, the necessary range of α may be reduced considerably.

Table 2. *Summary of input parameters and results for simulated h.c.p. reflections*

Column 2 gives the direct lattice vector that is perpendicular to the scattering plane when $\alpha=0$. Columns 3–6 give the input parameters defining the (α, θ) -rectangle investigated. Columns 7–9 give the number of operating points (including coincident ones) found in the rectangle. Columns 10–12 give the number of operating points (excluding coincidences) found to be free of interference.

Simulated reflection	Reference vector \mathbf{Z}	θ_{\min} (°)	θ_{\max} (°)	α_{\min} (°)	α_{\max} (°)	Points tested			Points accepted		
						Be	Mg	Zn	Be	Mg	Zn
0001	[010]	6	90	0	30	56	52	44	4	4	5
0003	[010]	14	90	0	30	112	104	86	8	5	7
0005	[010]	23	90	0	30	114	106	86	9	8	11
1121	[110]	21	90	0	90	107	112	130	13	15	11
1123	[110]	28	90	0	90	104	101	104	11	11	12
2241	[110]	40	90	0	90	109	114	128	3	6	4
3031	[010]	35	90	0	90	117	120	137	7	9	7
3033	[010]	40	90	0	90	109	112	118	7	11	10
4131	[140]	61	90	-90	90	117	119	134	1	0	0

ization can be obtained even with a mosaic crystal. Analogous considerations are valid for 9 other operating points in Tables 3, 4 and 5.

References

- BACON, G. E. (1962). *Neutron Diffraction*, 2nd ed., Chap. III & IV. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- DAWSON, B. & WILLIS, B. T. M. (1967). *Proc. Roy. Soc. A* **298**, 307.
- GUINIER, A. (1952). *X-ray Crystallographic Technology*, p. 79. London: Hilger & Watts.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography* (1952). Vol. I, p. 55. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- KOTTWITZ, D. A. (1968a). *Acta Cryst. A* **24**, 117.
- KOTTWITZ, D. A. (1968b). *Phys. Rev. 175*, 1056.
- PEARSON, W. B. (1967). *Handbook of Lattice Spacings and Structures of Metals*, Vol. 2, Chap. II. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- Physics Today* (1964). Vol. 17, no. 2, p. 48. New York: American Institute of Physics.
- RENNINGER, M. (1937). *Z. Phys.* **106**, 141.

Acta Cryst. (1969). **A25**, 464

A Method of Calculating Molecular Crystal Structures

BY DONALD E. WILLIAMS

Department of Chemistry, University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky 40208, U.S.A.

(Received 30 September 1968)

A novel method of calculating the molecular position in a lattice of known dimensions is presented. The repulsive lattice energy is approximated by a sum of quadratic nonbonded interatomic potential functions and the lattice energy sum is minimized by full-matrix least squares. The convergence range from arbitrary trial models is greater than the previously used steepest descent method using (exp-6) nonbonded potentials. Greatly increased speed of convergence is also obtained because of the inclusion of off-diagonal terms and the small number of repulsive interactions which are considered. The calculated packing models are sufficiently accurate to serve as a starting point for structure factor least-squares refinement based on diffraction data.

Introduction

A situation frequently encountered in the study of molecular crystals by diffraction methods is that the molecular structure is already known approximately, or at least, plausible predictions of the molecular structure can be made from expected bond distances and angles. In order to verify the model and to refine the molecular structure from the diffraction data it is necessary to locate the molecules in the unit cell of the crystal. In addition, the packing structure may be of considerable interest in itself even if the molecular structure is accurately known. For example, several different packing structures may be observed for the same molecular structure. Or, chemical and physical interactions between molecules may be closely related to their mode of packing in the crystal.

The most obvious procedure which can be used to obtain the crystal packing of molecules is to minimize the lattice energy, neglecting thermal effects, using the best available representation of the non-bonded energy* between the molecules, and assuming pairwise additivity (Williams, 1965a). The most important con-

tribution to the attractive energy in molecular crystals is the London dispersion energy, which has an inverse sixth power dependence on the interatomic separation. This term has the physical meaning of instantaneous dipole-dipole polarization. Terms involving quadrupoles or higher may safely be neglected as an initial approximation.

Several investigators (Kitaigorodskii, 1965; Craig, Mason, Pauling & Santry, 1965) have shown that the contribution to the lattice energy from electrostatic dipoles or quadrupoles is small for typical molecular crystals. Further, the rate of change of electrostatic energy effects with respect to molecular position is small.

The repulsive energy is of primary importance in determining the molecular position, provided the observed lattice constants are retained. The repulsive energy is due to overlap of filled electron shells and is a consequence of the required antisymmetry of the wave function for the system. The increase in repulsion energy with decreasing interatomic separation, d , may be fitted by a d^{-n} term, with n chosen for best fit, or to an exponential $\exp(-Cd)$ term, with C chosen for best fit.

The pairwise sum for the lattice energy based on the usual models for the nonbonded energy, such as the

* For a general discussion of nonbonded energy see, for example, Hirschfelder, Curtiss & Bird (1954).